Eric Ewing

Monday, 3/31/25

ChatGPT: "Deep Space animated image"

CSCI 1470 Deep Learning

Day 25: Image Generation Day 1

Recap: Supervised Learning

Supervised learning requires labels
Learn a function that takes in input features and outputs labels Is it an image of someone cooking?



What are some pros and cons of supervised learning?

Recap: Supervised Learning

Pros:

- Can produce very high quality models with sufficient data
- Performance is easy to measure (e.g., accuracy)

Cons:

- Reliant on **availability** of labels
- Reliant on **quality** of labels

What's Left?

Unsupervised Learning: Learning without labels



Reinforcement Learning: Learning from experiences



This Week in Deep Learning

ChatGPT 40 added image generation and editing capabilities

- ChatGPT used to rely on Dall-E for image generation, now they've introduced a new model
- Reliably incorporates text commands and improved image generation capabilities



What *might* this look like?



Source: OmniGen: Unified Image Generation: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2409.11340

What *might* this look like?



Source: OmniGen: Unified Image Generation: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2409.11340

Foundation Models

Key Question: What is the equivalent of Language Modeling for data other than natural language? (From last class)

Desired Properties:

- 1. No need for human labeling
- 2. Large amount of data available
- 3. Ability to learn a "general" representation of the data



Autoencoders

Autoencoder: an encoder-decoder architecture that tries to produce its own input



But what's hard about this? It's very easy to learn a function that outputs the input to the function (i.e., the identity function)

Autoencoders



Convolutional Autoencoders: Encoding



Autoencoders: Decoding

- Convolution as we know it only keeps resolution same or decreases it
- How do we go up in resolution?



Transposed Convolution

• Convolution Idea: Slide kernel along an input matrix



• Transpose Convolution:

Blue: Input Green: Output



(stride of 1)

Source: https://d2l.ai/chapter_computer-vision/transposed-conv.html

Transpose Convolution in Tensorflow



Specifying Output Size

- An image can be the result of the same convolution on images of different resolution
- We need to specify which one we want.

57	60
66	61

1	2	3	
4	5	6	
7	8	9	



2	1	0	3
0	0	1	2
3	1	2	0
0	2	2	1

2	1	0	3	0
0	0	1	2	0
3	1	2	0	0
0	2	2	1	0
0	0	0	0	0

Transpose Convolution in Keras



Any questions?

Note: Output Shape is inferred, but can be specified via the "output_padding" parameter

Documentation here: <u>https://www.tensorflow.org/api_docs/python/tf/keras/layers/Conv2DTranspose</u>

Convolutional Autoencoder



Loss Function

What do you think is an appropriate loss function?

Reconstruction loss (MSE): How far is each output pixel from the corresponding input pixel?

Autoencoders

What do Autoencoders actually learn?

- 1. Encoder learns a dimensionality reduction (from image to vector)
- 2. Decoder learns an image generation function (from vector to image)

Encodings of MNIST data points with a trained autoencoder (dimensionality reduced further by PCA)



Encoders can be used to learn insights into structure of data

Decoders can be used to generate "new" images

Generating Images

- How can we generate a "new" image using a decoder?
- Sample a vector in latent space and send it to the decoder...
- But how do you choose which vector?
- What if you wanted to generate a specific image? How would you find the right vector?



Issues with Autoencoders

- Vectors close together in latent space may not produce similar outputs
- Tend to overfit data (struggle to produce "new" outputs)

How to address issues with overfitting outputs? Try to learn more *variation* in outputs.



Issues with Autoencoders

What might a better latent space look like for generation?





Building up the VAE Architecture

If we were to describe an autoencoder functionally:





Building up the VAE Architecture

For variational autoencoders, we also do a random sampling operation at the bottleneck

Output = Decoder(random_sample(Encoder(Input)))



How does random sampling in latent space lead to variation?



- The random sampling should be designed to produce random points in latent space that are close to the output of the encoder
- Nearby points in the latent space should decode to similar images

How should **random_sample** be defined?

Output = Decoder(random_sample(Encoder(Input)))

- We want the sample to be close to the encoder output
- One option: sample from a Gaussian centered at Encoder(Input)

What can we modify?



How should **random_sample** be defined?

Output = Decoder(random_sample(Encoder(Input)))

- We want the sample to be close to the encoder output
- One option: sample from a Gaussian centered at Encoder(Input)
- Use two dense layers to convert the encoder output into the mean and standard deviation of the Gaussian





How should **random_sample** be defined?



 $\mu = 0$ $\sigma = 1$



 $\mu = 0$ $\sigma = 1.5$



Training a VAE

Two goals:

- 1. Reproduce an output similar to the input (Input ≈ Output)
- 2. Have some variation in our output (Input \neq Output)
 - Seems like two conflicting goals!
 - How do we resolve these two goals?



Weighted Combination of Losses

 L_1 = loss associated with producing output similar to input L_2 = loss associated with producing output with some variation to input



Remaining Questions

- Backprop requires that each individual step of a neural network be differentiable. VAEs sample from a Gaussian. Is that differentiable?
- How do we encourage variation in output?
- How do we generate desired types of outputs? (i.e., how do we incorporate prompts)



Recap

